

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/717,250	11/19/2003	Martin Evans	CAT/008	7738	
26291	7590 05/01/2006		EXAMINER		
	N & SHERIDAN L.L.P.	NECKEL, ALEXA DOROSHENK			
595 SHREWS FIRST FLOOI	BURY AVE, STE 100 R	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
SHREWSBUR	RY, NJ 07702	1764			
			DATE MAILED: 05/01/2006	<b>S</b>	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Applicat	ion No.	Applicant(s)	
		10/717,2	250	EVANS, MARTIN	
	ffice Action Summary	Examine	r	Art Unit	
		Alexa D.		1764	
<i>Th</i> e Period for Rep	MAILING DATE of this communically	ation appears on th	e cover sheet with the	e correspondence add	dress
WHICHEVE - Extensions of after SIX (6) M - If NO period fi - Failure to repl Any reply rece	NED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR ISLANDER, FROM THE MAINTENANCE THE MAINTENANCE TO STATE THE MAINTENANCE TO STATE THE MAINTENANCE THE	ILING DATE OF To 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no ex- ication. tory period will apply and v II. by statute, cause the apply	HIS COMMUNICATION  I went, however, may a reply be the control of	ON.  It timely filed  om the mailing date of this co	
Status	term aujusument. See S7 CFR 1,704(b).				
1)□ Resp	onsive to communication(s) filed	on			
		on )⊠ This action is r	on-final		
-	this application is in condition for	-		prosperation as to the	morito in
	in accordance with the practice				ments is
		andor Exparto Qu	idyio, 1000 O.D. 11,		
Disposition of					
	(s) <u>1-26</u> is/are pending in the app				
	the above claim(s) is/are	withdrawn from co	nsideration.		
	(s) is/are allowed.				
	(s) <u>1-26</u> is/are rejected.				
	(s) is/are objected to.				
8)[_] Claim	(s) are subject to restrictio	n and/or election r	equirement.		
Application Pap	pers				
9)⊠ The sp	ecification is objected to by the E	xaminer.			
	awing(s) filed on <u>19 November 2</u>		ccepted or b) 🛛 object	cted to by the Examir	ner
	ant may not request that any objection				161.
	ement drawing sheet(s) including the				2 1 121(4)
11) <u></u> The oa	th or declaration is objected to by	v the Examiner. No	te the attached Offic	e Action or form PTC	\ 1.121(u). \_152
Priority under 3		,			7 102.
	_	Envelope vista 4			
a) ☐ All	vledgment is made of a claim for b)  Some * c)  None of:	ioreign priority und	ier 35 U.S.C. § 119(a	a)-(d) or (f).	
	·	oumonto have ba-	n manaisse d		
	Certified copies of the priority do				
	Certified copies of the priority do				
	Copies of the certified copies of t			ed in this National S	tage
	application from the International				
See ale	attached detailed Office action for	וכ a iist of the certif	red copies not receiv	red.	
Attachment(s)					
	rences Cited (PTO-892)		4) Interview Summar	v (PTO-412)	
<ol><li>Notice of Draft</li></ol>	sperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-	948)	Paper No(s)/Mail [	Date	
	sclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PT0 lail Date <u>4/2/04 &amp; 7/22/04</u> .	D/SB/08)	5) Notice of Informal 6) Other:	Patent Application (PTO-1	152)
S. Patent and Trademark Of TOL-326 (Rev. 7-05)		Office Action Summar		art of Paper No /Mail Date	20060427

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## **Drawings**

1. The drawings are objected to as failing to comply with 37 CFR 1.84(p)(5) because they do not include the following reference sign(s) mentioned in the description:

In paragraph [0030], "delivery line 108" is not in figure 1;

in paragraphs [0017], [0018], [0041], and [0042], "system 100" is not in any of the figures; and

in paragraphs [0044] and [0045], there is no "502" in figure 5.

Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

2. The drawings are objected to as failing to comply with 37 CFR 1.84(p)(5) because they include the following reference character(s) not mentioned in the description:

In figure 5, reference numbers 520 and 506".

Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d), or amendment to the specification to add the reference character(s) in the description in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(b) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

## Specification

3. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities:

In paragraph [0048] it appears that "flange 502" is a typographical error in some manner. Flanges have been previously recited at 506 and 502 was previously recited at separator. Additionally, 502 is not in figure 7.

In paragraph [0019], Serial No. 10/445,453 should be updated as it is now abandoned, but does have a pre-grant publication number, 2004/0099572.

In paragraph [0038], Serial No. 10/304,670 should be updated with either is publication number (if one has been established) or with the pre-grant publication number, 2004/0102929.

Appropriate correction is required.

## Claims Analysis

4. It is noted that claims 1-20 recite a "system" which is not a statutory category of invention. It has been determined that the claims are directed to an apparatus and the appropriate principles for interpreting claims for that particular category of invention have been applied.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. Claims 1-3, 6-11, and 14-26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Evans (2004/0166032) in view of Comardo (2001/0041117).

With respect to claims 1-3, 10, 11, 18, 21, and 23, Evans discloses a multicatalyst injection system (502) comprising:

- a plurality of vessels suitable for storing catalyst (440 and 510);
- a plenum/manifold (530) fluidly coupling the vessels (440 and 510); and
- a plurality of dispense mechanisms (432 and 520) for dispensing catalyst from each vessel (44 and 510) to an FCC unit (424).

Evans fails to disclose wherein the plurality of vessels can be integrated into a single compartmentalized (by a separator) vessel.

Application/Control Number: 10/717,250

Art Unit: 1764

Comardo discloses a catalyst charging system/hopper (35) which is shown to have a plurality of internal planar partitions (358) so that there are the same number of compartments as discharge means (paragraph [0070] and figure 18). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to use a single compartmentalized storage vessel, such as that of Comardo, in place of multiple single vessels of Evans in order to have more a compact design as well as since it has been held that the use of one piece construction instead of a multiple component construction would be merely a matter of obvious engineering choice. *In re Larson*, 340 F.2d 965, 968, 144 USPQ 347, 349 (CCPA 1965).

With respect to claims 6 and 14, though Evans does not contemplate the vessels being of different sizes, it has been held that, where the only difference between the prior art and the claims was a recitation of relative dimensions of the claimed device and a device having the claimed relative dimensions would not perform differently than the prior art device, the claimed device was not patentably distinct from the prior art device. *In Gardner v. TEC Systems, Inc.,* 725 F.2d 1338, 220 USPQ 777 (Fed. Cir. 1984), cert. denied, 469 U.S. 830, 225 USPQ 232 (1984).

With respect to claims 7 and 15, the vessels of Evans reasonably appear to be of substantially equal volume (figure 5).

With respect to claims 8, 20, and 22, it would have been obvious to make the catalyst compartments of the modified device of Evans adjustable in order accommodate for varying proportions of different catalysts required for an FCC reactor.

Application/Control Number: 10/717,250

Art Unit: 1764

It has been held that adjustability, where needed, is not a patentable advance. *In re Stevens*, 212 F.2d 197, 101 USPQ 284 (CCPA 1954).

With respect to claim 16, Evans further discloses catalyst fill ports (442) at the top of the vessel and wherein the plenum (530) is within the vessel in fluid communication with the fill ports (442) (see figure 5).

With respect to claim 17, Evans further discloses wherein the vessel is controlled to a pressure of at least about 10 psi to about 100 psi (see claims 14 and 15).

With respect to claim 19, the combination of Evans with Comardo would result in the separator having a hole in order to provide for fluid communication between the compartments.

With respect to claims 24 and 25, Evans further discloses wherein the dispensing can occur simultaneously or sequentially (paragraph [0037]).

With respect to claim 26, Evans further discloses wherein the first and second vessels have different catalysts (and therefor are chemically different) (paragraph [0037]).

7. Claims 4, 5, 12, and 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Evans (2004/0166032) in view of Comardo (2001/0041117) as applied to claims 1, 8, 10 and 20 above, and further in view of Marks (4,782,427) or Komeya et al. (4,660,881).

With respect to claims 4, 5, 12, and 13, in making the compartments adjustable, as discussed with claims 8 and 20 above, it would have been obvious to provide known means by which to adjust the partitions to accommodate varying sizes, such as flanges

Application/Control Number: 10/717,250

Art Unit: 1764

rotatable around a joint. Such partition adjusting means are known as evidenced by Marks (col. 3, lines 56-67) and Komeya et al. (col. 9, lines 12-23).

#### Conclusion

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Alexa D. Neckel whose telephone number is 571-272-1446. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Thursday from 9:00 AM - 7:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Glenn Caldarola can be reached on 571-272-1444. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Alexa D. Neckel Primary Examiner Art Unit 1764

April 27, 2006

ALEXA DOROSHENK NECKEL PRIMARY EXAMINER

Page 7